Green NLP Fixing our environmental impact

Leon Derczynski

GPT3 **Power consumption**

- Using V100S PCIe GPU hardware
- Tensor performance of 130 TFLOPS
- Microsoft DC uses 12.5% power on cooling
- Compute time is 27955.84 days
- Power usage is 188701.92 kWh
- I.e. 0.19 gigawatt-hours
 - 20 minutes of a Barsebäck reactor
 - 23 200 kg coal burned
 - 84 738 kg CO2 equivalent

Source: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2007.03051.pdf,



Energy is expensive

- All energy use warms the earth
 - Thermodynamics is a bitch
- Most electrical energy generation generates CO2
 - Direct: burning makes CO2
 - Indirect: concrete makes CO2
 - Implicit: wind backup is often fossil
- Even if you like to
 ^(a) on your doorstep:
 - Energy use means higher cost





Machine learning paradigm



Machine learning paradigm Data: energy costs

- Who put the data together?
- How long did that take?
- Where & how is it hosted?
- How much does it cost to store?
- How much energy does it take to load?



Per capita tons CO2e / year: India - 1.9



Per capita tons CO2e / year: Denmark - 7.2



Per capita tons CO2e / year: USA - 16.1







Making the data green Solutions & trade-offs

- Select the data points carefully
- Repeating the same thing isn't useful!
- Points close to a decision boundary are the most useful







Wonsild & Møller 2020

- Selecting which data to train from: "active learning"
- Impact: less data; fewer cycles



Making the model green Integer maths

- Integers: whole numbers
- Floats: all numbers
- A 3GHz CPU does 3 billion steps per second
 - But limited capacity for floating point calculations!
- IMUL (integer multiplication)
 - 1 standard operation
- FMUL (floating point multiplication)
 - 4 floating-point operations

r second bint

Low resolution is best resolution \Rightarrow



Activation functions ReLU vs tanh



```
relu: push eax
2
         rol eax, 1
3
         xor eax, eax
4
         and eax, 1
5
         pop ebx
6
         imul eax, ebx
         ret
```

(a) ReLU in x86-like code, with EAX holding a 32-bit float on entry. No floating point stack required; the function is applied bitwise with no branching. Grey instructions take one micro-op. Timings from Fog (2019).

```
tanh: fst dword [tmp1]
 2
           call exp
           fst dword [tmp2]
           fld dword [tmp1]
           fchs
          call exp
           fst dword [tmp1]
           fld dword [tmp2]
 9
           fsubr
10
           fld dword [tmp2]
11
           fld dword [tmp1]
12
           fadd
13
           fdiv
14
          ret
          fldl2e
15
    exp:
16
           fmulp st1, st0
17
           fld1
18
          fscale
19
           fxch
20
           fld1
21
           fxch
22
          fprem
23
           f2xm1
24
           faddp st1,st0
25
           fmulp st1, st0
26
           ret
```

(b) tanh in x86-like code; floating-point operations here begin 'f', which need FPUs and have higher execution times. Red instructions take more than ten micro-ops.

Figure 1: x86 style versions of ReLU vs. tanh.

Source: Derczynski 2020. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2006.07237v1.pdf

note - the call commands are branches. So tanh is even slower than it looks



Activation functions **Does it make a difference?**

Yes, plenty of difference

The slowest function takes around 8x as long as the fastest

Why burn that energy?





Data Driven Climate Action

fomorrow builds tech that empowers people and organisations to inderstand and reduce their carbon footprint.

Home

Company

Jobs

Blog

Climate Change



Carbontracker: Tracking and Predicting the Carbon Footprint of Training **Deep Learning Models**

Abstract

Deep learning (DL) can achieve impressive results across a wide variety of tasks, but this often comes at the cost of training models for extensive periods on specialized hardware accelerators. This energy-intensive workload has seen immense growth in recent years. Machine learning (ML) may become a significant contributor to climate change if this exponential trend continues. If practitioners are aware of their energy and carbon footprint, then they may actively take steps to reduce it whenever possible. In this work, we

Lasse F. Wolff Anthony^{* 1} Benjamin Kanding^{* 1} Raghavendra Selvan¹

ized hardware accelerators such as graphics processing units (GPUs). From 2012 to 2018 the compute needed for DL grew 300000-fold (Amodei & Hernandez, 2018).

This immense growth in required compute has a high energy demand, which in turn increases the demand for energy production. In 2010 energy production was responsible for approximately 35% of total anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (Bruckner et al., 2014). Should this exponential trend in DL compute continue then machine learning (ML) may become a significant contributor to climate change.

This can be mitigated by exploring how to improve energy efficiency in DL. Moreover, if practitioners are





Carbon intensity How can you minimise this?

1. Microsoft Azure: Carbon neutral since 2012, leaders in good PUE 2. Google Cloud: 100% renewable (this doesn't mean zero-CO2e) 3. Amazon AWS: committed to catch up with Google by 2030; currently has reached 50%

Coal is cheap partly because nobody wants it

Best place for serious data science is in a datacenter (e.g. via Colab)



Mapping power : accuracy tradeoff



Power consumption

The optimal systems are on this line, the "skyline"



System B



Green Alt takeaway points

1. Tiny models are best

2. Some model types consume less power than others

3. Use only the useful training data examples - ignore repeats

4. Run your code at low-carbon times



Thank you!

